|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Country |  | Your Company |  |
| Reporting Year |  | Contact Name |  |
|  |  | E-Mail |  | Phone |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Your Industry Sector(Highlight all that apply) | General Areas of Concern(Highlight all that apply) | Specific Areas of Concern(Highlight all that apply) | Areas Most in Need of Improvement(Highlight all that apply) | Loss Estimate(Highlight one) | Priority of Country Concern |
| Apparel / Fashion | Product Counterfeiting | Export | Administrative/Civil Justice | Available  | High |
| Automotive | Trademark Infringement | Internet/Online | Border Control | Not Available |  5 |
| Consumer Electronics | Copyright Piracy ((Institutional) | Labels/Packaging | Corruption |  | 4 |
| Electrical Equipment | Copyright Piracy (Consumer) | Manufacturing | Counterfeit/Equipment Disposal |  |  3 |
| Entertainment | Geographical Indications | Parallel Trade | Evidence Gathering |  |  2 |
| Food / Beverage | Other | Product Safety | Judges |  | 1 |
| Information Tech |  | Retail Trade | Law Enforcement |  | Low |
| Luxury Goods |  | Transshipment | Market Access |  |  |
| Medical Equipment |  | Other | Penalties/Recovery |  |  |
| Personal Care |  |  | Rights Acquisition |  |  |
| Petro-chemicalsPharmaceuticals |  |  | Substantive LawOther |  |  |
| PublishingTobaccoWine / Spirits |  |  |  |  |  |

**INSTRUCTIONS**: Complete this form **for each country or territory of concern**. These forms will be used to prepare aggregate information for disclosure in IACC 301 Report. **Your individual checklist and company name will not be disclosed without your consent.** An IACC representative may contact you to discuss your response.

In the space provided below (and using additional pages, as needed), please discuss your company’s experiences (if any) in this country, with regard to the specified areas of concern below.

1. Recent legislation or existing statutes that have had a positive/negative effect on IP enforcement in the country:

(*e.g. Failure to provide for statutory damages, ex officio authority for Customs*)

1. Positive / Negative Experiences with Enforcement Agencies:

(*e.g. Criminal / Administrative / Customs*)

1. Positive / negative experiences with the Judiciary:

(*e.g. Judges do/do not impose deterrent sentences*)

1. Government’s commitment / political will to address intellectual property concerns:

(*e.g. providing sufficient funding and resources to enforcement personnel, provide leadership for adoption of legislation*)

1. Are there any specific policies or practices which aid or impede Rights-holders’ efforts to enforce their IP rights?
2. Any other thoughts, comments, or examples which might be useful in providing an accurate portrait of the challenges facing rights-holders in this country?